

REMARKS

In the Office Action dated December 28, 2007, claims 1, 6-7, 9-17, 19-29, 31-39, 41-45, 50-51, and 53-66 were pending. The drawings were objected to under 37 CFR 1.83 (a). The drawings must show each and every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Claims 1, 6-7, 9-17, 19-29, 31-39, 41-45, 50-51, and 53-66 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lund (U.S. Patent Number 6,658,100) in view of Morton.

In the Office Action dated July 2, 2007, claims 1, 6-7, 9-17, 19-23, 28-29, 31-39, 41-45, 50-51, and 53-66 were pending. Claims 1, 6-7, 9-17, 19-29, 31-39, 41-45, 50-51, and 53-66 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lund (U.S. Patent Number 6,658,100) in view of Morton (U.S. Patent Number 6,480,484). The office action was marked "*Final*". A Request for Continuing Examination was filed December 3, 2007, with a preliminary amendment. Unfortunately, through a clerical error, the newly amended claims were not included in that amendment. In response, in the Office Action dated December 28, 2007, Claims 1, 6-7, 9-17, 19-23, 28-29, 31-39, 41-45, 50-51, and 53-66 were pending. Claims 1, 6-7, 9-17, 19-29, 31-39, 41-45, 50-51, and 53-66 were again rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lund in view of Morton. Furthermore, the drawings were objected to under 37 CFR 1.83 (a). The drawings must show each and every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Applicants respectfully

traverse this rejection and reiterate arguments made in previous Amendments and incorporate them herein by reference.

Claims 1, 6, 19, 23, 28, 45, and 50 have been amended, without prejudice, and claims 7, 29, 51 have been cancelled without prejudice. Independent claims 1, 23, and 45 have been amended to remove the implied requirement that they include the caller and that a URL is returned through transmitting a request to a mapping service utilizing a protocol similar to DNS. This does not introduce new matter, since, for example, at the bottom of page 9 of the specification:

System 300 further includes a mapping service 304. Protocol server 302 is operatively coupled to mapping service 304 through means known in the art. The mapping service may be located on another server, or collection of servers, anywhere in the network. A protocol similar in nature to DNS may defined for communication between protocol server and the mapping service for in use the present invention. The protocol server may configured with the address or name of the mapping service.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of these claims and incorporate by reference the arguments made in previous amendments. Further, a DNS-like 12 protocol is not utilized in either Lund or Morton when accessing the mapping service. This limitation is incorporated directly into the three independent claims (1, 23, and 45) and indirectly for the remainder of claims through being dependent upon the three independent claims. Thus, for all these reasons, applicants respectfully submit that a

prima facie case of obviousness has not been made, that the rejection of these claims is inaccurate, request that it be removed, and assert that all remaining claims are now allowable.

In regards to the objection to the drawings, Applicants respectfully traverse this objection. All claimed elements are shown in the FIGs., notably FIG. 2. Both the caller and service are described in detail in the specification. FIG. 2 shows the claimed process from a global perspective, whereas the claims claim the process from the point of view of the caller. Nevertheless, it is well known in the relevant art that when one party sends something, another party typically receives it. Thus, the drawings adequately show the claimed elements.

Applicants respectfully requests that this Amendment be entered. All claims should be allowable. Applicants further respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

If the Examiner has any questions regarding this application or this response,
the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned at 775-586-9500.

Respectfully submitted,
SIERRA PATENT GROUP, LTD.

Date:

/bruce e. hayden/

Sierra Patent Group, Ltd.
1663 Hwy 395, Suite 201
Minden, Nevada 89423
Telephone: (775) 586-9500

Bruce E. Hayden
Reg. No. 35,539